## Airborne Wide Area Imager for Wildfire Mapping and Detection

NASA PHASE II SBIR BRIEFING FOR *TFRSAC* NOVEMBER 2010 PHASE II CONTRACT NUMBER NNX09CA09C Technical Monitor: Steve Dunagan

Xiomas Technologies, L.L.C. Principle Investigator: John Green johngreen@xiomas.com 734-646-6535

## Xiomas Company Profile

- Xiomas is a small business started by two ex-Daedalus Engineers
  - John Green
    - Xiomas Product Engineer and Business Developer
    - Daedalus Product Engineer since 1989
    - Involved in development of
      - MODIS Airborne Simulator
      - Firefly
      - USFS Daedalus
      - INTA AHS
    - Principle Investigator for UAV Fire Mapper SBIR in 2004
  - David Rein
    - Xiomas Computer Engineer
    - Software Engineer for USFS Daedalus
    - Developed Compression Scheme for the UAV Fire Mapper
  - David Linden
    - Xiomas Senior Scientist
  - Brad Cook
    - Xiomas Electrical Engineer
- Our Goal is to Develop Imaging Systems for Environmental Remote Sensing and Homeland Security
  - Innovative
  - High Performance
  - Low Cost

### How are the programs structured?

•The structure of the SBIR and STTR programs reflects the Congressional understanding that the innovation process and bringing new products and services to the market takes time and has a high degree of technical and business risk. The programs have three phases:

**Phase 1** is the opportunity to establish the scientific, technical and commercial merit and feasibility of the proposed innovation in fulfillment of NASA needs. All Phase 1 contracts are selected competitively and require reporting on the work and results accomplished, including the strategy for the development and transition of the proposed innovation. NASA SBIR Phase 1 contracts last up to 6 months with a maximum funding of \$100,000. STTR Phase 1 contracts are typically for one year with a maximum funding of \$100,000.

**Phase 2** is focused on the development, demonstration and delivery of the proposed innovation. It continues the most promising Phase 1 projects through a competitive selection based on scientific and technical merit, expected value to NASA, and commercial potential. All Phase 2 contracts require reporting on the work and results accomplished, and whenever possible, the delivery of a prototype unit or software package, or a more complete product or service, for NASA testing and utilization. Both SBIR and STTR Phase 2 contracts are usually for a period of 24 months with a maximum funding of \$600,000.

**Phase 3** is the commercialization of innovative technologies, products and services resulting from Phase 2, including their further development for transition into NASA programs, other Government agencies, or the private sector. Phase 3 contracts are funded from sources other than the SBIR and STTR programs and may be awarded without further competition.

### 2.21 Technology Readiness Level (TRLs)

Technology Readiness Level (TRLs) are a uni-dimensional scale used to provide a measure of technology maturity.

Level 1: Basic principles observed and reported.

Level 2: Technology concept and/or application formulated.

Level 3: Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept.

Level 4: Component and/or breadboard validation in laboratory environment.

Level 5: Component and/or breadboard validation in relevant environment.

Level 6: System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment (Ground or Space).

Level 7: System prototype demonstration in an operational (space) environment.

Level 8: Actual system completed and (flight) qualified through test and demonstration (Ground and Space). Level 9: Actual system (flight) proven through successful mission operations.

We delivered the WAI in September 2010 and consider it at the low end of TRL7. Our flight test in June was at 10,000 feet, the low end of the "operational environment".

To transition to TRL8 we need a couple of flight tests in the operational environment, i.e., USFS or NASA aircraft, and actual mission operations to take the system to TRL9.

During the transition to TRL 9 we expect that we'll find some problems, weaknesses, deficiencies, etc. We don't know what those are right now, but there will almost certainly be something.

## Wide Area Imager Fire Application Requirements

- Detect 10 inch square, 600°C hot spots
- Generate terrain imagery through smoke from an altitude of 30,000 to 40,000 feet
- Locate the image pixels to a map accuracy of 10 meters
- Image terrestrial features with dimensions of around 2 meters in order to observe natural and man-made fire barriers
- Measure fire temperature to ±10% accuracy
- Measure temperatures up to 1000 degrees C
- Transmit geometrically corrected and classified imagery in near real time to centralized spatial data base
- The system must be capable of autonomous or remote operation
- The system must small (goal is 75 lbs) for light aircraft (Bravo II or King Air) or UAS installations (Ikhana)
- Possibly generate fuel loading and burn area classification maps

## Wide Area Imager Summary Description

- Step Stare Optical Configuration combines High Resolution Cameras with Wide Field of View scanning mirror
- High Resolution (nominally 300 uRadians) yields 12 foot pixel from 40,000 ft.
- 90 Degree Field of View yields 80,000 foot swath width
- Operating at 300 mph (260 knots) yields 2.9 million Acres per Hour
- Up to 6 bands
  - MWIR 4.4 um 5.4 um
  - LWIR 8 um 9 um
  - 0.52 0.6 um (TM Band 2)
  - 0.63 0.69 um (TM Band 3)
  - 0.76 0.90 um (TM Band 4)
  - 1.6 um (TM Band 5)

Primary Sensors for Fire Detection and Terrain Mapping

Secondary Sensors for

Burn Area Reflectance Classification

and

Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

- These four bands have been replaced with a single Visible sensor as we shifted funds to the IR Lens and the Mirrors
- Real Time Image Classification and Geo-Rectification
- Autonomous/Remote Operation
- Data Transmission via Wireless Data Link to Ground or Satellite

Goal is to reduce operational costs by a factor of 2X to 3Xby increasing coverage rate and decreasing flight time



Coverage of the current Phoenix system operated by the U.S. Forest Service National Infrared Operations group. The Phoenix system has a 120 degree field of view and covers a swath approximately 6 miles wide from 10,000 feet. At this altitude the Phoenix system has a 12.5 foot pixel at nadir. The proposed Xiomas system will have a 12.5 foot pixel from 42,500 feet and approximately a 16 mile swath width resulting in a 3X increase in coverage.

### Xiomas Wide Area Imager Shown Integrated with the NASA CDE



The CDE is NASA technology. Xiomas intends to developed the Wide Area Imager to interface with the CDE.



### Phase II SW Architecture Overview

WAI Control Processor



Speed in KNOTS



Xiomas Wide Area Imager preliminary Sensor Head Mechanical Outline. Subject to Change Xiomas Confidential and Proprietary 1-7-2010



Xiomas Wide Area Imager preliminary Sensor Head Mechanical Outline. Subject to Change Xiomas Confidential and Proprietary 1-7-2010









### Test 3 quick look samples:

•MWIR at Low Bias and Short Integration (0.275 ms) •Candles at 1000 K do not saturate •LWIR at Moderate Bias and Moderate Integration (10 ms) •Candles at 1000 K do saturate •full range is approximately 100 K



THigh = TLow= 2 or 3 candles Room Temperature at 1,000 K object, cardboard painted flat black at

23 C

TMedium = Controlled Black Body at 50 C

Sample image from test 3. 2 point NUC (non-uniformity-correction) applied in real time by QmagiQ camera.

First imagery from the WAI



2 Point Non-Uniform Correction Applied, but Bad Pixel Replacement has not been done

🔲 WAI Control Applie	ation [QWIP]				
Operations Lo	g Parameters				
Scanner Status System Faults		Band LW 🎽	Camera Image Display		
State	scanning	System			
Swath	131	Camera			
Step	1	Scanner			
Frame Number	2340	PAS	n de la data		
Frames In Queue	0				
Cá	amera Control				100
QWIP Configuration	n T2 - MW High / L	.W High 🔽			
Fire kA-B Coef		1.78 🗘			
Fire MW Threshold	ł	2500 💲			
Pan Gain		0	System Control		
Pan Offset		0 🗘	Stop	Free Run Run Scanner Recor	-d
Pan Exposure		U	Incident		
	PAS Status		NUC Select Dyna	mic NUC 🖌 Gen N	UC
Latitude (	0.0000 Roll	0.0000			
Longitude (	0.0000 Pitch	0.0000			
Alititude	0.0000 Heading	0.0000			

WAI Control Application [QWIP]						
Operations Log Parameters						
Scanner Status System Fa		Band FL 🔽	Camera Image Display			
State scanning	System					
Swath 2	Camera					
Step 4	Scanner					
Frame Number 21	PAS					
Frames In Queue			A X			
Camera Control			· • 1			
QWIP Configuration T2 - MW High / Fire kA-B Coef	LW High					
Fire MW Threshold	4000 🗘					
Pan Gain Pan Offset Pan Exposure		System Control	Free Run Run Scanner Record			
PAS Status		NUC Select Dynan	nic NUC 🖌 🛛 Gen NUC			
Latitude 0.0000 Roll Longitude 0.0000 Pitch Alititude 0.0000 Heading	0.0000					















We took the pixel map for the MWIR image of the three fires in the flight test imagery and re-sampled them to simulate the larger pixels we would see from an altitude of 10 km (32500 feet).

Red cells are fire and the clear cells are the background consisting of a variety of objects including sidewalk, road, rocks, and grass (see the image above):

Note that we took care in the re-sampling to make sure that the three fires do not overlap.

From the analysis we believe that the system is capable of detecting all three of the test fires from 32,500 feet. The two small fires are 39 square inches (6.25 by 6.25); the larger fire in the middle is 132 square inches (11.5 by 11.5 inches).

Below is the average of 25 pixels representing a simple re-sampling of the data from the flight test							
altitude of 6500 feet to the proposed operational altitude of 32500 feet							
		3366.16		2326.28		2251.64	
		2384.12		4364.12		2351.16	
		2415.64		2404.52		3110.96	
		2410.85		2418.45		2385.2	
Below is the same re-sampled data including 18% attenuation due to atmosphere							
		2760.251		1907.55		1846.345	
		1954.978		3578.578		1927.951	
		1980.825		1971.706		2550.987	
		1976.897		1983.129		1955.864	

#### S Google Earth

4688



42°15'39.12" N 83°45'12.93" W elev 0 ft

### Direct Geo-Referencing Accuracy

	DOS AV 510	AeroTech ADRT- 100-85 Across	Camera Mechanical Alignment Error (motion due to thermal and	Total RMS Error in	Total RMS Error in Meters assuming an
ļ	POS AV 510	I rack Mirror	vibration)	mRad	altitude of 12km
Position (m)	0.5 - 2.0				
Roll (mrad)	0.14	0.024	0.5	0.52	6.24
Pitch (mrad)	0.14	0.048	0.5	0.52	6.26

Table 4 – Geometric Tolerances with POS AV 510

Using the high accuracy POS AV 510 and assuming an altitude of 12km we calculate the RMS error in the roll and pitch direction as shown above. These errors should add in quadrature resulting in a total of 8.9 meters, the position error can then be added to this, resulting in a total of 9.4 to 10.9 meters.

		AeroTech ADRT-	Camera Mechanical Alignment Error (motion		Total RMS Error in
		100-85 Across	due to thermal and	Total RMS Error in	Meters assuming an
	POS AV 310	Track Mirror	vibration)	mRad	altitude of 12km
Position (m)	0.5 - 2.0				
Roll (mrad)	0.52	0.024	0.5	0.72	8.66
Pitch (mrad)	0.52	0.048	0.5	0.72	8.68

Using the moderate accuracy POS AV 310 and assuming an altitude of 12km we calculate the RMS error in the roll and pitch direction as shown above. These errors should add in quadrature resulting in a total of 12.3 meters, the position error can then be added to this, resulting in a total of 12.8 to 14.3 meters.

### Wide-Area Imager Orthorectification Processing Unit (OPU)

•Xiomas and ITT VIS (the ENVI people) developed the Wide-Area Imager (WAI) Orthorectification Processing Unit (OPU)

•The OPU is an automated, direct-georeferencing orthorectification system which processes data collected by the Wide Area Imager in near-real time.

•The core algorithms are adapted from the ENVI Rigorous Orthorectification Module and modified to orthorectify, mosaic, and compress WAI imagery.

•The WAI OPU is implemented in CUDA, a massively parallel computing architecture developed by NVIDIA, for execution on a Graphics Processing Unit (GPU).

•Hardware Summary: CPU: 3GHz+ Dual Core CPU GPU: NVIDIA GTX 285 RAM: 8 GB RAM OS: 64-bit Fedora Core 10

### From the ITT VIS ENVI Orthorectification Module Whitepaper

### **Rigorous Orthorectification**

•Rigorous orthorectification is the most accurate method of image geolocation and geometric correction.

•Using the rigorous method, high accuracy image geolocation is accomplished by using photogrammetric methods that employ physical sensor models and advanced statistical treatment of elevation and geometric reference data.

### The many advantages of the rigorous photogrammetric approach include:

- Highest possible geometrical accuracy for given input data
- Lower operational costs method is highly stable and requires less external data such as ground control points
- Support of true image orthorectification with sub-pixel geolocation accuracy
- Full photogrammetric functionality readily available for any satellite or airborne sensor, with modest efforts required to develop a sensor model

# NASA SBIR/STTR Technologies Airborne Wide Area Imager for Wildfire Mapping and Detection

Contract No. NNX09CA09C



PI: John M Green **Xiomas Technologies** 

#### Identification and Significance of Innovation

An autonomous airborne imaging system for earth science research, disaster response, and fire detection is proposed.

The primary goal is to improve information to researchers and operations personnel at reduced cost. By operating autonomously and with higher spatial resolution, the system will deliver a 3X to 4X reduction in operating costs compared to current systems.

The system uses a two color Quantum Well Infrared Photo detector (QWIP) to improve the accuracy of energy release from wildfires, thereby improving our understanding of the carbon cycle.

#### Expected TRL Range at the end of Contract (1-9): 7

#### Technical Objectives and Work Plan

1) Detect 8-inch diameter, 600°C hot spots, while imaging the day and night ground terrain through smoke from an altitude of 30,000 to 40.000 feet.

- 2) Generate fuel loading and burn area classification maps.
- 3) Locate the image pixels to a map accuracy of 10 meters.

4) Image terrestrial features with dimensions of around 2 meters in order to observe natural and man-made fire barriers.

5) Transmit geometrically corrected and classified imagery in near real time to a centralized spatial data base.

6) The airborne system must be capable of autonomous or remote operation.

7) The airborne instrument must small enough for light aircraft or UAV installations

Xiomas Wide Area Imager Sensor Head

#### NASA and Non-NASA Applications

#### NASA:

- •Unmanned Airborne System and Sensor Development
- •Fire Detection and Mapping Research
- •Research into the Development of Automated Sensors
- Carbon Cycle Research
- US Forest Service:
- •Reduced cost of National Infrared Operations
- •Real Time Fire Detection and Mapping DHS:
- Disaster Response
- Border Patrol

Firm Contacts

John M. Green johngreen@xiomas.com 1317 Skyway Drive Ypsilanti, MI 48197 734-646-6535

### **NON-PROPRIETARY DATA**